

Table 1. Selected bond lengths (Å)

Sr1-017	2.456 (7)	Sr5-016	2.588 (7)
Sr1-012	2.488 (7)	Sr5-022	2.615 (6)
Sr1-0112	2.510 (6)	Sr5-032	2.737 (7)
Sr1-013	2.551 (6)	Sr5-036	2.751 (7)
Sr1-024	2.564 (6)	Sr6—O28 <sup>v</sup>	2.412 (8)
Sr1-0211	2.642 (6)	Sr6-019	2.469 (8)
Sr1-033	2.919 (7)	Sr6-0111 <sup>ii</sup>	2.534 (6)
Sr1-0311	2.939 (7)	Sr6-0212 <sup>vi</sup>	2.548 (6)
Sr1-034	2.948 (6)	Sr6-018	2.550 (7)
Sr2-027	2.543 (6)	Sr6-0210	2.629 (6)
Sr2—O16 <sup>i</sup>	2.567 (7)	Sr6-038	2.802 (7)
Sr2—O22	2.584 (7)	Sr6-0310	2.812 (7)
Sr2-021	2.586 (7)	Sr6-0312 <sup>vi</sup>	2.888 (8)
Sr2-015	2.602 (7)	011—C11	1.300 (12)
Sr2-023	2.640 (7)	O21-C11	1.230(12)
Sr2-013	2.678 (6)	O12-C12	1.185 (12)
Sr2-025	2.906 (8)	O22—C12	1.246 (12)
Sr3—O212 <sup>ii</sup>	2.530 (6)	O13-C13	1.326 (11)
Sr3-029	2.554 (7)	O23-C13	1.210(11)
Sr3—O210 <sup>iii</sup>	2.555 (7)	014—C14	1.231 (11)
Sr3-014"	2.607 (6)	O24—C14	1.250(11)
Sr3-018	2.610 (7)	O15-C15	1.239 (12)
Sr3—O111 <sup>ii</sup>	2.716 (6)	O25—C15	1.258 (12)
Sr3—O211 <sup>ii</sup>	2.718 (6)	O16C16	1.246 (11)
Sr3-024 <sup>n</sup>	2.801 (6)	O26C16	1.217 (11)
Sr4	2.434 (7)	O17-C17	1.230 (11)
Sr40110 <sup>m</sup>	2.476 (7)	O27—C17	1.291 (11)
Sr4	2.519 (7)	O18-C18	1.260 (12)
Sr4014"	2.525 (6)	O28—C18	1.266 (12)
Sr4	2.573 (7)	O19—C19	1.227 (12)
Sr4021	2.613 (7)	O29—C19	1.298 (12)
Sr4031	2.810 (7)	O110-C110	1.199 (12)
Sr4039	2.827 (7)	O210—C110	1.283 (12)
Sr4037	2.988 (9)	0111—C111	1.235 (12)
Sr5-011	2.406 (7)	O211—C111	1.190 (11)
Sr5—O23 <sup>1V</sup>	2.455 (7)	O112-C112	1.258 (11)
Sr5-015	2.459 (7)	O212—C112	1.239 (12)
Sr5-025 <sup>1</sup>	2.526 (8)		

Symmetry codes: (i)  $\frac{3}{2} - x$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + y$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (ii)  $\frac{1}{2} + x$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - y$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (iii)  $\frac{3}{2} - x$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + y$ ,  $\frac{3}{2} - z$ ; (iv)  $\frac{3}{2} - x$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (v)  $\frac{3}{2} - x$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{3}{2} - z$ ; (vi) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z.

A preliminary reduction of the unit cell indicated the possibility of higher metric symmetry (orthorhombic C), but the  $R_{int}$  value was 0.223, cf.  $R_{int}$  was 0.038 for the chosen monoclinic cell. The intensity statistics indicated centrosymmetry. All non-H atoms were located from E maps and the subsequent  $\Delta \rho$  syntheses. Since the number of collected reflections satisfying the criterion  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  was not large enough, all furan rings were treated as residues of common geometry in the final steps of refinement [using the SADI command in SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993)], and DELU was used to stabilize the anisotropic displacement parameters by restraining common components of neighbouring atoms to be approxomately equal. The H atoms bonded to the furan rings were placed geometrically with a C-H distance of 0.93 Å. Their isotropic displacement parameters were taken to be 1.2 times those of their attached C atoms.

Data collection: KM-4 Users Guide (Kuma Diffraction, 1989). Cell refinement: KM-4 Users Guide. Data reduction: KM-4 Users Guide. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990).

Lists of atomic coordinates, displacement parameters, structure factors and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: JZ1136). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Kuma Diffraction (1989). KM-4 Users Guide. Version 3.1. Kuma Diffraction, Wrocław, Poland.
- Paluchowska, B., Lis, T. & Leciejewicz, J. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 683-686.
- Paluchowska, B., Maurin, J. K. & Leciejewicz, J. (1996a). Acta Cryst. C52, 342–347.
- Paluchowska, B., Maurin, J. K. & Leciejewicz, J. (1996b). Acta Cryst. C52, 347-351.
- Schauer, C. K. & Anderson, O. P. (1987). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109, 3646–3656.
- Schauer, C. K. & Anderson, O. P. (1988). Inorg. Chem. 27, 3118– 3130.
- Schmidbaur, H., Bach, I., Wilkinson, D. L. & Müller, G. (1989). Chem. Ber. 122, 1433-1438.
- Schmidbaur, H., Mikulcik, P. & Müller, G. (1990). Chem. Ber. 123, 1599–1602.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of
- Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1997). C53, 289-292

# [Bis(thiodiphenylphosphino-S)amido]chlorobis(dimethylphenylphosphine-P)nitridorhenium(V)

Ulrich Abram,<sup>a</sup> Ernesto Schulz Lang<sup>a</sup> and Jonathan R. Dilworth<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 18, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany, and <sup>b</sup>University of Essex, Department of Chemistry and Biological Chemistry, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester CO4 3SQ, England. E-mail: ulrich.abram@uni-tuebingen.de

(Received 19 June 1996; accepted 5 November 1996)

## Abstract

The title complex, [ReNCl( $C_{24}H_{20}NP_2S_2$ )( $C_8H_{11}P$ )<sub>2</sub>], is formed during the reaction of [ReNCl<sub>2</sub>(Me<sub>2</sub>PhP)<sub>3</sub>] with a stoichiometric amount of Na(SPh<sub>2</sub>PNPPh<sub>2</sub>S) in dichloromethane/methanol. The equatorial sphere of the six-coordinate complex is occupied by two Me<sub>2</sub>PhP ligands and the tetraphenyldithioimidodiphosphinate anion, which binds in a bidentate manner *via* the sulfur donors. The chloro ligand is arranged in a position *trans* with respect to the terminal nitrido N atom, with exceptionally long Re—Cl bond lengths [2.598(4) and 2.632 (5) Å for the two crystallographically independent molecules] due to the structural *trans* influence of 'N<sup>3--</sup>.

# Comment

Recently, we have shown that  $[ReNCl_2(Me_2PhP)_3]$  is a suitable starting material for obtaining rhenium mixed-ligand complexes which contain phosphines and chelating co-ligands (Abram & Ritter, 1993; Ritter & Abram, 1994). In contrast to similar reactions with  $[ReNCl_2(Ph_3P)_2]$ , where the equatorial coordination sphere is completely replaced by chelating ligands, the more basic Me\_2PhP ligand remains and mixed-ligand complexes are formed.

The reaction of [ReNCl<sub>2</sub>(Me<sub>2</sub>PhP)<sub>3</sub>] with one equivalent of sodium tetraphenyldithioimidodiphosphinate yields the yellow air-stable title compound, [ReNCl-(SPh<sub>2</sub>PNPPh<sub>2</sub>S)(Me<sub>2</sub>PhP)<sub>2</sub>], (I). The formation of significant amounts of the bis-chelate [ReN(SPh2PNP- $Ph_2S_2$  can only be observed when a large excess of the ligand, *i.e.* when the ratio of  $[ReNCl_2(Me_2PhP)_3]$ to ligand is ten or higher, and long refluxing times are applied. The title compound is readily soluble in organic solvents such as CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> or CHCl<sub>3</sub> and only sparingly soluble in alcohols. The IR spectrum of the compound shows a narrow band for the ReN vibration at  $1055 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which is the same value as observed for [ReNCl<sub>2</sub>(Me<sub>2</sub>PhP)<sub>3</sub>]. In the FAB<sup>+</sup> mass spectrum of the complex, rhenium-containing fragments can be observed at m/z = 924, 822 and 787, which can be assigned to [ReN(Me<sub>2</sub>PhP)<sub>2</sub>- $(SPh_2PNPPh_2S)$ <sup>+</sup>, [ReNCl(Me<sub>2</sub>PhP)(SPh<sub>2</sub>PNPPh<sub>2</sub>S)]<sup>+</sup> and [ReN(Me<sub>2</sub>PhP)(SPh<sub>2</sub>PNPPh<sub>2</sub>S)]<sup>+</sup>, respectively. No evidence could be found for the molecular ion peak. This agrees well with the results of mass spectrometry studies on other six-coordinate rhenium-nitrido complexes where, in general, cleavage of the bond *trans* to the nitrido ligand is preferred (Abram & Ritter, 1993; Ritter & Abram, 1994; Hübener, Abram & Strähle, 1994).



Crystals of the title compound were obtained from a  $CH_2Cl_2$ /methanol solution. The triclinic unit cell contains two crystallographically independent [ReNCl-(Me\_2PhP)<sub>2</sub>(SPh\_2PNPPh\_2S)] molecules (Fig. 1). The molecular geometry of the second molecule does not differ significantly and therefore will not be discussed in detail. Selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 1.

The Re atom is octahedrally coordinated, with the  $Me_2PhP$  and chelating ligands in equatorial positions. Distortions from idealized octahedral geometry are evident. The N(11)—Re(1)—P(13) angle of 86.5 (2)° is much smaller than those to the other equatorially coordinated donor atoms, which range between 95.3 (2) and 106.4 (2)°. The geometry of [ReNCl(Me\_2PhP)\_2-(SPh\_2PNPPh\_2S)] is thus similar to that of [ReNCl-



Fig. 1. ZORTEP (Zsolnai, 1994) diagram of one of the two independent molecules of [ReNCl(Me<sub>2</sub>PhP)<sub>2</sub>(SPh<sub>2</sub>PNPPh<sub>2</sub>S)] showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. The H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

 $(Me_2PhP)_2(HEt_2tcb)]$  (where  $HEt_2tcb^-$  is N,N-diethylthiocarbamoylbenzamidinate) (Abram & Ritter, 1993) and  $[ReNCl(Me_2PhP)_2(Me_2dtc)]$  (where  $Me_2dtc^-$  is dimethyldithiocarbamate) (Ritter & Abram, 1994). An exceptionally long bond distance is found between the Re atom and the chloro ligand, which is coordinated trans with respect to the terminal nitrido N atom. This is due to the labilizing *trans* influence of  $(N^{3-})$  and has been discussed previously (Abram & Ritter, 1993; Ritter & Abram, 1994; Forsellini, Casellato, Graziani & Magon, 1982). The formation of a six-coordinate complex is in contrast to the results which were obtained from the reaction of [ReNCl<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>] with Na(SPh<sub>2</sub>PNPPh<sub>2</sub>S), where the five-coordinate [ReNCl- $(Ph_3P)(SPh_2PNPPh_2S)$ ] is formed. In this complex, chlorine is coordinated in the basal plane of a square pyramid, with an Re-Cl distance of about 2.37 Å (Rossi et al., 1993).

#### Experimental

*mer*-[ReNCl<sub>2</sub>(Me<sub>2</sub>PhP)<sub>3</sub>] (68 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 ml) and a solution of Na(SPh<sub>2</sub>PNPPh<sub>2</sub>S) (150 mg, 0.3 mmol) in methanol (20 ml) was added. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 1 h and the precipitated NaCl was removed by filtration. Upon standing, yellow crystals of [ReNCl(Me<sub>2</sub>PhP)<sub>2</sub>(SPh<sub>2</sub>PNPPh<sub>2</sub>S)] were formed. These were filtered off and washed with water and methanol. Yield: 72 mg (75% based on Re).

Crystal data

[ReNCl(C24H20NP2S2)-Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $(C_8H_{11}P)_2$ ]  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$  $M_r = 960.41$ Cell parameters from 25 Triclinic reflections ΡĪ  $\theta = 9.40 - 14.07^{\circ}$ a = 10.476(1) Å  $\mu = 3.377 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ b = 19.543(3) Å T = 203 (2) Kc = 20.690(3) Å Needle  $\alpha = 74.32(7)^{\circ}$  $0.40 \times 0.10 \times 0.05$  mm  $\beta = 89.44(7)^{\circ}$ Yellow  $\gamma = 81.22 \, (6)^{\circ}$  $V = 4028 (1) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4 $D_{\rm r} = 1.584 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$  $D_m$  not measured Data collection Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 11 533 reflections with diffractometer  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $\omega$  scans  $R_{\rm int} = 0.0305$ Absorption correction:  $\theta_{\rm max} = 26.02^{\circ}$  $h=-1\rightarrow 12$ semi-empirical  $\psi$  scans  $k = -23 \rightarrow 24$ (Frenz, 1983)  $T_{\rm min} = 0.735, T_{\rm max} = 0.843$  $l = -25 \rightarrow 25$ 18 424 measured reflections 3 standard reflections 15722 independent every 300 reflections reflections frequency: 60 min intensity decay: none

Refinement

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0363P)^2]$
+ 7.9532P]
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = -0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.417 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.040 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: none
Scattering factors from
International Tables for
Crystallography (Vol. C)

 Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for the first molecule of (I)

	-	-	
Re(1)—N(11)	1.667 (6)	Re(1)— $Cl(1)$	2.632 (4)
Re(1)—P(13)	2.410(4)	N(12)—P(11)	1.586 (6)
Re(1)—P(14)	2.418 (5)	N(12)—P(12)	1.588 (6)
Re(1)—S(11)	2.499 (4)	P(11)—S(11)	2.023 (4)
Re(1)—S(12)	2.505 (4)	P(12)—S(12)	2.027 (4)
N(11)—Re(1)—P(13)	86.5 (2)	N(11)—Re(1)—Cl(1)	171.2 (2)
N(11)—Re(1)—P(14)	95.2 (2)	P(13) - Re(1) - Cl(1)	88.42 (14)
P(13) = Re(1) = P(14)	96.61 (15)	P(14) - Re(1) - Cl(1)	78.19 (15)
N(11) - Re(1) - S(11)	106.4 (2)	S(11) - Re(1) - Cl(1)	80.35 (14)
P(13)—Re(1)—S(11)	86.14 (14)	S(12) - Re(1) - Cl(1)	85.23 (14)
P(14) - Re(1) - S(11)	158.27 (8)	P(11)—N(12)—P(12)	132.2 (4)
N(11) - Re(1) - S(12)	100.4 (2)	N(12) = P(11) = S(11)	118.0 (2)
P(13) - Re(1) - S(12)	171.67 (7)	N(12) - P(12) - S(12)	118.0(2)
P(14) - Re(1) - S(12)	87.38 (15)	P(11) = S(11) = Re(1)	102.91 (14)
S(11) - Re(1) - S(12)	87.48 (14)	P(12) = S(12) = Re(1)	105.3 (2)

Scattering factors, dispersion corrections and absorption coefficients were taken from *International Tables for Crystallog*raphy (Vol. C).

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989). Cell refinement: CAD-4 Software. Data reduction: CAD-4 Software, SDP (Frenz, 1983) and HKL (Kretschmar, 1994). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: ZORTEP (Zsolnai, 1994). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the DAAD, the British Council and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. UA thanks Professor Joachim Strähle, University of Tübingen, for his kind hospitality and for providing the facilities.

Lists of atomic coordinates, displacement parameters, structure factors and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: FR1002). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

### References

Abram, U. & Ritter, S. (1993). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 210, 99-105.

- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Forsellini, E., Casellato, U., Graziani, R. & Magon, L. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 3081-3083.

Frenz, B. A. (1983). Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package. SDP Users Guide. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.

Hübener, R., Abram, U. & Strähle, J. (1994). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 216, 223-228.

- Kretschmar, M. (1994). HKL. Program for the Conversion of X-ray Data. University of Tübingen, Germany.
- Ritter, S. & Abram, U. (1994). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 620, 1443-1448.
- Rossi, R., Marchi, A., Marvelli, L., Magon, L., Peruzzini, M., Casellato, U. & Graziani, R. (1993). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. p. 723.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Zsolnai, L. (1994). ZORTEP. Program for the Presentation of Thermal Ellipsoids. University of Heidelberg, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1997). C53, 292-293

# *cis*-Di(nitrato-*O*,*O*')bis(tricyclohexylphosphine oxide-*O*)nickel(II)

ALAN R. KENNEDY, STEPHEN W. SLOSS AND MARK D. SPICER

Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XL, Scotland. E-mail: a.r.kennedy@ccsun.strath.ac.uk

(Received 3 October 1996; accepted 13 November 1996)

### Abstract

The formal pseudo-octahedral coordination around the Ni<sup>II</sup> atom in the title complex,  $[Ni(NO_3)_2(OPCy_3)_2]$ , places the OPCy<sub>3</sub> ligands (C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>33</sub>OP; Cy is cyclohexyl, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>) in an unusual mutually *cis* conformation. This may be rationalized by viewing each bidentate NO<sub>3</sub> group as occupying only one site of a tetrahedral coordination shell.

## Comment

The ability of stereochemically demanding ligands to stabilize unusual coordination geometries and electronic states has been well documented (Brown & Lee, 1993; Murray, Hope, Hvoslef & Power, 1984). *cis*-[Ni( $\eta^2$ -NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OPCy<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], (I), was obtained during our studies of a series of tricyclohexylphosphine complexes, prepared to help elucidate the nature of this stabilization process.



The coordination geometry about nickel would be conventionally described as octahedral, with six O atoms bound to the metal. Distortion of the octahedron is caused by the intrinsically small bite angles of the bidentate nitrate groups  $[61.2(1) \text{ and } 61.6(1)^{\circ} \text{ for } O(3)$ -Ni(1)-O(4) and O(6)-Ni(1)-O(7), respectively]. It has been noted, however, by Cotton & Bergman (1964), that such bidentate ligands may be viewed as occupying one coordination site of a metal. Thus, compound (I) could be described alternatively as having a distorted tetrahedral structure about the Ni(1) atom. This alternative description is supported by the  $N(1) \cdots Ni(1) \cdots N(2)$ angle of  $108.6(1)^{\circ}$  and by consideration of the relative stereochemistry of the OPCy<sub>3</sub> ligands [O(1)-Ni(1)-O(2) 95.3 (1) and  $P(1) \cdots Ni(1) \cdots P(2)$  115.92 (3)°]. In an octahedral arrangement, these ligands must be viewed as being mutually cis. Such a relationship between bulky groups is unexpected. For example, PCy<sub>3</sub> ligands are normally situated trans with respect to one another, the cis geometry being a rarity (Watson, Woodward, Conole, Kessler & Sykara, 1994; Clark & Hampden-Smith, 1987). Although sterically less demanding than  $PCy_3$ , as defined by the classic cone angle (Tolman, 1977), OPCy<sub>3</sub> is nevertheless a large ligand and it is unusual for such ligands to preferentially adopt a cis arrangement. A similar conformation is found in the related complex  $[Ni(\eta^2 - NO_3)_2(OAsPh_3)_2]$  (Margues & Tomita, 1990) and comparable geometric parameters are in good agreement between the complexes.

The NO<sub>3</sub> ligands are symmetrically bidentate, as classified by Kleywegt *et al.* (1985). The P—O distances of 1.505 (3) and 1.502 (3) Å are slightly shorter than



Fig. 1. ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) view of (I) with 50% probability ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

© 1997 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved